



**DESCRIPTION OF COURSE UNIT**  
according to the ECTS User's Guide 2015

Course unit title	Arabic IV
Course unit code	22000011B04
Type of course unit (compulsory, optional)	Compulsory
Level of course unit (according to EQF: first cycle Bachelor, second cycle Master)	Bachelor
Year of study when the course unit is delivered (if applicable) 2021/2022 Semester/trimester	1 <sup>st</sup> year
Semester/trimester when the course unit is delivered	First Semester
Number of ECTS credits allocated	2.88 ECTS. 2 credits equal to 2.88 ECTS. (1 ECTS = 27.5 hours per semester) In total 2.88 x 27.5 hours per semester = 79,2 hours per semester
Name of lecturer(s)	M. Abdullah Charis, M.Pd. Rohmatulloh Salis, M.Pd. Agus Maulana, M.Pd Asrofik, M.Pd
Learning outcomes of the course unit	<p>CLO-1: Students are able to analyze the basic concepts contained in the material <i>Al Mawasim, Fi Al-Suq, Fi Al Masjid, Fi Al Maktabah, Al Tarbiyah wa Al Ta'lim fi Indonesia, Al Ma'had, Al Munasabat, and Al Ulama' fi Indonesia</i>.</p> <p>CLO-2: Students are able to develop positive attitude, appreciation and empathy towards the values and principles contained in the material <i>Al Mawasim, Fi Al-Suq, Fi Al Masjid, Fi Al Maktabah, Al Tarbiyah wa Al Ta'lim fi Indonesia, Al Ma'had, Al Munasabat, and Al Ulama' fi Indonesia</i>.</p> <p>CLO-3: Students are able to decide skills relevant to the material of <i>Al Mawasim, Fi Al-Suq, Fi Al Masjid, Fi Al Maktabah, Al Tarbiyah wa Al Ta'lim fi Indonesia, Al Ma'had, Al Munasabat, and Al Ulama' fi Indonesia</i> in the context of everyday life.</p> <p>CLO-4: Students are able to reflect on their own learning process in applying the material from <i>Al Mawasim, Fi Al-Suq, Fi Al Masjid, Fi Al Maktabah, Al Tarbiyah wa Al Ta'lim fi Indonesia, Al Ma'had, Al Munasabat, and Al Ulama' fi Indonesia</i>.</p>
Mode of delivery (face-to-face, distance learning)	Face to face

Prerequisites and co-requisites (if applicable)	
Course content	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Al Mawasim</li> <li>2. Fi Al-Suq</li> <li>3. Fi Al Masjid</li> <li>4. Fi Al Maktabah</li> <li>5. Al Tarbiyah wa Al Ta'lim fi Indonesia</li> <li>6. Al Ma'had</li> <li>7. Al Munasabat</li> <li>8. Al Ulama' fi Indonesia</li> </ol>
Recommended or required reading and other learning resources/tools	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Hamid, Muhammad Abdul dkk. (2021). Al Arabiyah lil Hayah, Malang: UIN Maliki Press. Buku 1</li> <li>2. Hamid, Muhammad Abdul dkk. (2018). Kamus al-musa'id li Al Arabiyah lil Hayah, Malang: UIN Maliki Pres</li> <li>3. محمود إسماعيل صيني، وناصف مصطفى عبد العزيز، ومختار الطاهر حسين، العربية للناشئين، المملكة العربية السعودية: إدارة الكتب المدرسية، وزارة المعارف، 1403 هـ</li> <li>4. محمد بن عبد الرحمن آل الشيخ (مشرف)، العربية بين يديك، الرياض-المملكة العربية السعودية: العربية للجميع، 1435 هـ</li> <li>5. مجمع اللغة العربية بالقاهرة، المعجم الوسيط، مصر: مكتبة الشروق الدولية، 2011 م</li> <li>6. نبيل عبد السلام هارون، المعجم الوجيز، مصر: وزارة التربية والتعليم، 1994 م</li> </ol>
Planned learning activities and teaching methods	Lecture, Group discussion, Simulation, Collaborative Learning, and Cooperative Learning
Language of instruction	Indonesian
Assessment methods and criteria	Observation, Performance, Written Test and Oral Test.

## DISCUSSION ASSESSMENT GUIDELINES

Course Name : \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Lecturer : \_\_\_\_\_

Departement : Islamic Religious Education

### A. Assessment Rubric

No.	Assessment Criteria and Substances	Scoring and Indicators				
		1	2	3	4	5
1	<b>Active Participation</b> (25%)	Not participating in the discussion	Participation is minimal and irrelevant	Very minimal participation but quite relevant	Moderately active participation, but less relevant	Very active and relevant participation
2	<b>Material Understanding</b> (25%)	Not understanding the material	Very little understanding and unable to analyze the material	Good understanding but unable to analyze	Minimal understanding, but can analyze well	Excellent and thorough understanding and can analyze well.
3	<b>Argumentative Ability</b> (20%)	Unable to argue	Arguments are feeble and irrelevant	Good enough argument, but not relevant	Arguments are strong and relevant, but not yet able to defend their opinions.	Arguments are strong and relevant and can defend their opinions.
4	<b>Listening Skills</b> (15%)	Having no desire to listen	Occasional listening and often ignorance of surroundings	Good listening, but less responsive	Listened well and was responsive, but interrupted several times.	Excellent listening and responsiveness

5	<b>Teamwork</b> (15%)	Not cooperating with the group	Cooperates with certain group members but is passive	Actively cooperates with certain group members but refuses to cooperate with other group members	Actively cooperates with all group members but is less efficient	Actively collaborate with all group members and work together efficiently
---	--------------------------	--------------------------------	--	--	--	---

### B. Compilation of Final Grade

The final score is obtained using the following formula:

Final score =

- $s_i$  score is the score obtained by the student on the i-th task
- $Substancs_i$  is the weight (in fractional form) of the i-th task
- The sum is done for all indicators, from indicators 1 to 5.

### C. SCORING GUIDELINES

The scoring guidelines are as follows:

No.	Category	Value Range
1	Excellent	86-100
2	Good	70-85
3	Average	50-69
4	Deficient	25-49
5	Unsatisfactory	<25

#### D. Assessment Sheet

##### DISCUSSION ASSESSMENT SHEET

**Student Name** : \_\_\_\_\_

**NIM** : \_\_\_\_\_

**Group Name** : \_\_\_\_\_

**Class** : \_\_\_\_\_

**Course Content** : \_\_\_\_\_

**Lecturer** : \_\_\_\_\_

**Assessment Date** : \_\_\_\_\_

**Ninth Meeting** : \_\_\_\_\_

No.	Assessment Criteria and Substances	Checklist Column					Total Score
		1	2	3	4	5	
1	Active Participation (25%)						
2	Material Understanding (25%)						
3	Argumentative Ability (20%)						
4	Listening Skills (15%)						
5	Teamwork (15%)						
Total Final Score							
Grade to Letter Conversion							
Value Category							

Malang, \_\_\_\_\_

**MATRIX CALCULATION  
FINAL COURSE SCORE  
DEPARTMENT OF ISLAMIC EDUCATION**

**A. Assessment Aspects**

Assessment Aspect					
Cognitive (Substance 60%)				Affective (Substance 20%)	Psychomotor (Substance 20%)
Papers/Essay/ Articles/Book Review/Article Review/Portfolio/ Mind Map (Substance 20%)	Discussion/Presentation/ Observation/Cas e Study/Project (Substance 20%)	Midterm Exams (Substance 30%)	Final Exams (Substance 30%)	Assessment of Attitude (Observation/Self- Assessment/Peer Assessment)	Practice

**B. Final Course Score Calculation**

$N_a = \text{Cognitive Aspect Score (CA)} + \text{Affective Aspect Score (AA)} + \text{Psychomotor Aspect Score (PA)}$

$$N_a = CA \left( \left( \text{Midterm Exam Score} \times \frac{30}{100} \right) + \left( \text{Final Exam Score} \times \frac{40}{100} \right) + \left( \text{Task Accumulation I} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n \text{Score}_l \times \frac{15}{100} \right) + \text{Task Accumulation II} \left( \sum_{l=1}^n \text{Score}_l \times \frac{15}{100} \right) \right) \right) \times \frac{60}{100} + AA \times \frac{20}{100} + PA \times \frac{20}{100}$$

Note: n = total task

l = 1<sup>st</sup> score

**C. Guidelines for Score Conversion**

The scoring guidelines are outlined as follows:

No	Category	Grade
1	Excellent	86-100
2	Good	70-85
3	Average	50-69
4	Deficient	25-49
5	Unsatisfactory	<25